|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name: |       | Section: |       |
| Level of Qualification: |       | Date of Events: |       |
| Competition: |       | Assessor’s Name: |       |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Events Judged: |       |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ASSESSMENT SKILLS** | **WD** | **DE** | **ID** | **ND** | **NA** |
| Current technical knowledge and understanding of rules |  |  |  |  |  |
| **GOEs**: Integration of quality in GOE assessments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Effective use of positive GOEs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Accuracy of reductions of GOEs/SP must be -3 GOE’s |  |  |  |  |  |
| **PCs**: Appropriately assigns PC scores |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Reflects differences in PCs when warranted |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ability to explain and support GOEs and PCs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ability to assess deductions and violations as appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **INTERPERSONAL SKILLS AND DEPORTMENT** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Management during the competition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ability to manage stress |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acts appropriately in situations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open-mindedness to other opinions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interacts well with other officials, staff and volunteers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respect for athletes, officials and stakeholders |  |  |  |  |  |

Please comment briefly on the judge’s general overall GOE assessments and PC scores throughout the event.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

What is your overall assessment of this judge based upon the various events judged during this competition?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WD** | **DE** | **ID** | **ND** | **NA** |
| PATTERN DANCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHORT PROGRAMS / SHORT DANCE / FIRST SKATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| FREE PROGRAMS / SECOND SKATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL |  |  |  |  |  |

Additional Comments (optional):

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**This judge is proficient at this level:** [ ]  **Yes** [ ]  **No \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

 **Assessor**

**Instructions for Assessors**

Based on the candidate’s self-assessment and performance, please rate the candidate’s judging abilities/skills using the following five-point assessment scale**:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| WD  | Well Developed | Skills are developed beyond the expectations of the level of event judged. |
| DE | Developing as Expected | Skills are appropriately developed for level of event judged. |
| ID | In Development | Skills are developing but need further refinement to reach expectations of level of event judged.  |
| ND | Needs Further Development | Skills are in need of improvement to reach expectations of level of event judged. May benefit from further training or study |
| NA | Not Applicable or Unable to Assess | Skill not applicable in this event / discipline or were not observed |

***Please make comments, particularly in the event of an assessment of "Needs Further Development".***

**Guidelines for Assessors:**

**Proficiency:** A judge is considered proficient at the level judged if they receive no more than two “In Development” assessments and no “Needs Further Development”assessments.